

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 1450 1450 1450 1450 1450 1450 1450 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,054	08/07/2003	Peter J. Schiller	11811/US/2	1021
7590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER		
Min S. (Amy) Xu			FORDE, REMMON R	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP				DADED MIMORD
Suie 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 South Sixth Street			2826	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		Applicant(a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/636,054	SCHILLER, PETER J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Remmon R. Fordé	2826			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF TH	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atule, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 August 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	•					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□ objected to t	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		, ,			
11)∐	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	nents have been received. Dents have been received in Appriority documents have been	oplication No			
* (application from the International Bu		ranait and			
" (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not i	eceived.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
. –	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14 and 18-20, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 226.
- Claims 15-17, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 48.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by another and materially different process than those/ that of the group II invention. For example, the first set of piezoelectric elements can be tested before they are actuated.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,054 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Remmon R. Fordé whose telephone number is (571) 272-1916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Remmon R. Fordé

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800